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COMPARATIVE STUDY OF VISUAL OUTCOME OF EARLY VERSUS DELAYED PARS PLANA VITRECTOMY IN PATIENTS COMPLICATED WITH DROPPED NUCLEUS DURING PHACOEMULSIFICATION
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the controversy of early and delayed pars plana Vitrectomy (PPV) for posteriorly dislocated lens fragments after phacoemulsification. Patients and Methods: A Prospective study was conducted on all consecutive cases (30 patients) with parsplana Vitrectomy performed for retained lens fragment. In first group; 15 eyes (50%), PPV were performed within 1 week of cataract extraction and in second group 15 eyes (50 %), PPV were performed more than 1 week post cataract extraction from January 2016 to November 2017.

Results: In the first group, 67 % of the patients had a final Visual acuity of 0.3 or better, but 27% of them achieved a final Visual acuity of 0.5 or better. The mean improvement of Visual acuity in the 1st week was 0.13 ±0.14, in one month 0.18 ±0.16, 0.28 ±0.21 in the 3rd month and 0.37 ±0.23 at the end of the 6th month.In the second group, 34 % of the patients had a final Visual acuity of 0.3 or better, but 14% of them achieved a final Visual acuity of 0.5 or better. The mean improvement of Visual acuity in the 1st week was 0.09 ±0.07, in one month 0.14±0.09, 0.19±0.13 in the 3rd month and 0.24±0.17 at the end of the 6th month. Conclusions: The visual outcome of the first group in our study is better than that of the second group but with no statistical significance (P value more than 0.05), the results did not indicate an association between clinical outcomes and whether the patient had an early or delayed PPV. However, there was evidence that early PPV may produce better outcomes than delayed PPV.
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1. Introduction

As a result of the use of phacoemulsification procedure in cataract surgery, visual outcome and patients convalescence have improved significantly when compared to Extra capsular cataract extraction (ECCE) [1]. However, as a consequence of increased instrumentation and technology in phacoemulsification, surgeons have to face a learning curve to master this technique. As a result of this, there are some complications that are unique to phacoemulsification, the most serious of which is dropped nucleus, or dislocation of the entire nucleus or nuclear fragments into the vitreous cavity during the surgery which can occur at any stage of phaco-
mulsification (i.e. during hydro dissection, sculpting or nuclear segment removal) It can even occur during phacoemulsification done by experienced surgeons [2]. Pars plana Vitrectomy (PPV) has been used successfully to retrieve the retained lens fragments in order to minimize the risk of further complications [3,4], although most authors stated that the optimal timing of pars plana Vitrectomy is controversial [5,6] as many studies showed that inflammatory reaction caused by the retained lens fragment may be relieved spontaneously or with medications and it may be worsen with longer duration of lens fragments remaining within the eye [6,7]. This study evaluated the visual outcome of early and late PPV to manage posteriorly dislocated lens fragments following cataract extraction by phacoemulsification.

2. Patients and Methods
It was a prospective study including 30 eyes randomly selected presented with nucleus or lens matter loss into the vitreous after complicated cataract surgery (phacoemulsification). The surgical procedure was done from January 2016 to Nov. 2017. They were divided after taking their medical written consent into 2 groups, group A: including 15 eyes underwent Vitrectomy in the same day or within one week from cataract surgery (phacoemulsification) and group B: including 15 eyes underwent Vitrectomy more than 1 week from cataract surgery (phacoemulsification). Follow up data were taken at the 2nd day of surgery, one week and at 1, 3 and 6 month after Vitrectomy for inclusion in the study. The exclusion criteria included those who underwent previous PPV, those with macular lesions such as myopic changes or age related macular degeneration, Patients with ocular Pathology, DME, hypertensive maculopathy, optic atrophy or glaucoma. The parameters of the study included demographics, preexisting eye diseases, details of the previous cataract surgery (including date), performance of anterior Vitrectomy, and intraocular lens (IOL) implantation. In addition, best corrected visual acuity, IOP (considered high, if it was more than 20 mm hg, anterior and posterior segment findings at presentation (including the presence of stromal corneal edema, anterior uveitis, lens matter, vitreous and/or fibrin in the anterior chamber, hyphema and hypopyon), the approximate size of nuclear fragments in the vitreous, vitritis, vitreous hemorrhage, retinal detachment, CME, and choroidal effusion were evaluated. Other studied variables were the details of the procedure (including date), the interval between cataract extraction and vitreoretinal surgery, use of phacofragmentation (fragmatome) and/or heavy liquids, operative complications such as retinal tear or retinal detachment, final visual acuity, and complications were recorded during the follow-up. A dilated fundus examination was performed preoperatively in order to identify the number and location of the lens fragments, and to rule out any retinal tears or breaks, in which an argon laser photocoagulation was performed if the visualization of the retina was possible. In addition, an IOP was taken, and we noted if there were any other pathologies such as vitreous or suprachoroidal hemorrhage, CME or previous glaucoma. A standard three-port PPV is the procedure of choice using 23 gauage system using a 3-port transconjunctivalmicrocannula-based 23-gauge PPV system Microcannulas were inserted transconjunctivally, with the help of an insertion trocar, 3.5 mm posterior to the limbus in the inferotemporal, superotemporal, and superonasal quadrants. A 23-G microvitreoretinal (MVR) blade was inserted tangentially, approximately 30 degrees parallel to the limbus. The infusion cannula was placed in the inferotemporal quadrant, and plugs were used to temporarily close the other entry sites. Any residual lens materials
surrounding the iris area were carefully removed; care is taken to avoid breaking the capsule remains ready for the final intraocular lens implantation. A core vitrectomy was performed, followed by the removal of the softer cortical lens material and vitreous around the nucleus. A high speed vitrectomy probe with a cutting rate of 1500-2500 cuts/min and a vacuum level of 300-500 mmHg was used during PPV. The balanced salt solution bottle height was set at 50 cm. A bimanual technique was used to push the nucleus into the port of the vitrectomy cutter with the endoilluminator probe. In cases where hard lens material was unable to be removed with the vitrectomy probe, a sclerotomy site was enlarged to accommodate a 20-G MVR blade and the fragmatome hand piece. Through this sclerotomy, intravitreal phacoemulsification with a 20-G titanium fragmatome was performed to remove the hard nucleus fragments in the mid-vitreous with a vacuum level of 100-150 mmHg. The phacofragmatome tip if needed may be used to aspirate the nucleus material, assisted by the tip of the endoilluminator to keep the nuclear fragments near the phacofragmatome. After the vitrectomy and lensectomy were completed a peripheral examination with scleral depression is performed, and peripheral vitrectomy was done in order to eliminate any peripheral vitreous traction. If there is any break or tear, an endolaser treatment was done. At the end of the surgery a placement of the IOL was performed in aphakic cases. If a small intact capsulorhexis was present, the IOL can be inserted in the sulcus if PC-IOL was impossible, AC-IOL could be placed. In eyes that received an intraocular lens implantation corneal stromal wound hydration was performed at the end of surgery to ensure water tight secure wounds. If the fragmatome was used, the 20-G sclerotomy created in the superotemporal quadrant was closed with 7-0 vicryl suture. After the PPV, there was a follow-up control of the patients after one week, one month, three months and six months. The corrected visual acuity was measured at each visit and included in the statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were calculated using SPSS software (version 13.5). Values are expressed as mean ± SD, and statistical significance was determined using the Student’s t-test for paired data. The McNemar test was used for evaluating the qualitative data such as presence of the CME, corneal edema, retinal detachment and high IOP.

3. Results

In the first group: 15 eyes of 15 patients were enrolled, 8 of them (53.30%) were males, while 7 (46.60%) were females. Their ages varied from 30 to 71 years (mean ± SD: 54.2 ± 11.15) with pre-cataract surgery BCVA (0.08 ± 0.06) complicated by posterior dislocation of lens matter, tab. (1). The patients underwent PPV, 7 of them (46.70%) at the same day, implanting hard PMMA on the ciliary sulcus in 4 cases, 3 piece acrylic foldable IOL in 2 cases and iris fixating verisyse behind the pupil in one case. The remaining cases (8/15) had a visual acuity ranging from HM to 0.1. They underwent PPV within a week, 3 of them (20%) were complicated by corneal edema and operated on the 5th day, 3 of them (20%) were complicated by increase of IOP more than 25 mmhg, received anti-glaucoma medications and were operated on the 3rd day, while the remaining two cases underwent PPV in the 2nd day after cataract surgery. Five cases (5/8) presented with vitreous in AC, two cases (2/8) presented with cortical matter in AC and one case with vitreous hge, no hypopyon or hyphaema with VA ranging from HM to 3/60. They underwent 23 gauge vitrectomy using a 3-port transconjunctival-microcannula-based 23-gauge PPV system, we had to enlarge the port in 5 cases (33%) for fragmatome use, using PFC for macular protection in 9 cases (60%),
induction of PVD in 9 cases (60%), peripheral retinal tear in one case (6%). At the end of surgery, we implanted hard PMMA on the ciliary sulcus in 2 cases, and iris fixating verisyse behind the pupil in one case. In the second group: Fifteen patients were enrolled in this group, with pre-cataract surgery BCVA (0.11±0.09), 6 of them (40%) were males, while 9 (60%) were females. Their ages varied from 47 to 75 years (mean + SD: 58.27±15.34). Five cases 33.4% were complicated by corneal edema, 2 cases 13.4% by uveitis, 3 cases 20% with vitreous in AC, 2cases 13.4% by choroidal detachment, 4 cases 26.7% with lensmatter in AC, one case 6.7% with vitreous haemorrhage and 7 cases 46.7% with IOP more than 25 mmhg, all received their medications. No hypopyon or hyphaema with VA ranging from HM to 0.15 (mean BCVA is 0.08]). They underwent 23 gauge Vitrectomy using a 3-port transconjunctivalmicrocannula-based 23-gauge PPV system, we had to enlarge the port in 3 cases (20%) for fragmatome use, using PFC for macular protection in 4 cases (26.7%), induction of PVD in 4 cases (26.7%), peripheral retinal tears in two cases (13.4%) with silicon oil tamponading. In the first group, fig. (1) 67 % of the patients had a final visual acuity of 0.3 or better, but 27% of them achieved a final visual acuity of 0.5 or better. The mean improvement of visual acuity in the 1st week was 0.13 ±0.14, in one month 0.18 ±0.16, 0.28 ±0.21 in the 3rd month and 0.37 ±0.23 at the end of the 6th month. The mean IOP, tab. (2) at the 1st month 16 ±3.81 mmhg, 17.75 ±5.7 at 3rd month and 17.45 ±5.76 at the 6th month. In the second group, fig. (1), 34 % of the patients had a final visual acuity of 0.3 or better, but 14% of them achieved a final visual acuity of 0.5 or better. The mean improvement of visual acuity in the 1st week was 0.09 ±0.07, in one month 0.14 ±0.09, 0.19±0.13 in the 3rd month and 0.24 ±0.17 at the end of the 6th month. The mean IOP, tab. (2) at the 1st month 15.2±5.08 mmhg, 14.53±4.53 at 3rd month and 16.73±5.13 at the 6th month.

Figure (1): Comparison between the study groups as regards the postoperative BCVA

Table (1) Comparison between the study groups as regards the postoperative BCVA calculated by Log MAR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean BCVA</th>
<th>Group A (1st group)</th>
<th>Group B (2nd group)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decimal</td>
<td>Log MAR</td>
<td>Decimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre operative mean BCVA</td>
<td>0.08±0.06</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.11±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA at 1 week</td>
<td>0.13±0.14</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.09±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA at 1 month</td>
<td>0.18±0.16</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.14±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA at 3 month</td>
<td>0.28±0.21</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.19±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA at 6 month</td>
<td>0.37±0.23</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.24±0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (2) Comparison between the study groups as regard postoperative mean IOP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (1st group)</th>
<th>Group B (2nd group)</th>
<th>P. value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP1m</td>
<td>16±3.81</td>
<td>15.2±5.08</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP3m</td>
<td>17.8±5.7</td>
<td>14.5±4.53</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP6m</td>
<td>17.5±5.76</td>
<td>16.73±5.13</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

Although PPV is considered the preferred surgical procedure in the management of posteriorly dislocated lens fragments following cataract surgery, Flynn HW, et al., found that the patients did not undergo PPV after nuclear dropping, their visual acuity deteriorated to counting finger or worse due to chronic complication such as corneal decomposition, glaucoma, RD and optic atrophy, there is a lack of consensus regarding the timing effect of this procedure on the final visual outcome [1]. According to our results of the first group, 67% of the patients had a final visual acuity of 0.3 or better, but 27% of them achieved a final visual acuity of 0.5 or better. The mean improvement of visual acuity in the 1st week was 0.13±0.14, in one month 0.18±0.16, 0.28±0.21 in the 3rd month and 0.37±0.23 at the end of the 6th month. In the second group, the mean improvement of visual acuity in the 1st week was 0.09±0.07, in one month 0.14±0.09, 0.19±0.13 in the 3rd month and 0.24±0.17 at the end of the 6th month. 34% of the patients had a final visual acuity of 0.3 or better, but 14% of them achieved a final visual acuity of 0.5 or better. The results in both groups closely match many other studies[8,9,10] however Greve et al showed 40% of patients in early Vitrectomy group had vision of 0.4 or higher, but in late vitrectomy group 16.6% were with vision 0.4 or higher [11,12]. Tasmal et al., [13] and other studies [14-16] concluded that the timing of Vitrectomy did not affect the Visual outcome in respective large series of more than 100 eyes. In contrast, Bolt and his associates 2007 [17] found that PPV performed within a week after cataract surgery produced inferior outcomes compared with after a week, and recommended, PPV could be delayed until the eye has recovered from cataract surgery. Another study of 89 eyes by Al-Khaier et al., [18] reported that Vitrectomy after 4 weeks statistically correlated with a poor visual outcome, unlike our study in most of the reported series, vitreous surgeries were not performed immediately or on the same day as the cataract surgery, as they did not report the outcome of the 10 patients that received Vitrectomy on the same day. Other large series have failed to demonstrate a statistically significant association between the timing of Vitrectomy and the final visual outcome [8,13-17]. Tommila and Immonen [19] reported that of eyes undergoing Vitrectomy immediately after cataract extraction 57% of seven eyes achieved a vision of 0.5 or better with a mean 0.43, compared with 78% of 16 eyes that were vitrectomized 1-7 days after cataract surgery. Elizabeth AV and his associates in 2014 study found that early PPV was associated with better VA and fewer cases of previtrectomy and postvitrectomy retinal detachment, increased IOP, and intraocular inflammation/ infection compared with later PPV [20]. Generally the visual outcome of the first group in our study and in many other studies is better than that of the second group but with no statistically significance [9,10,20] (P value more than 0.05), the results did not indicate an association between clinical outcomes and whether the patient had an early or delayed PPV, However, there was
evidence that early PPV may produce better outcomes than delayed PPV, this is may be due to low number of study cases (not conclusive) rather than the variations of amount of retained lens material, course of the eye, details of the manipulations, different complications and timing of the primary cataract surgery, so this study assure the controversy of both procedures with a support for early PPV having superior visual outcomes and less complications by removal the lens fragments before the onset of time-dependent inflammation and the accompanying complications, also the same day PPV may take advantage of a clear cornea and minimally inflamed eye to enable better removal of retained lens fragments, with fewer complications, so we recommend further prospective consecutive conclusive clinical trials enrolling large number of patients and a longer follow up period to test a superiority hypothesis comparing of both groups and to provide insight on the proper timing of Vitrectomy. One of the main findings in our study was that the development of secondary glaucoma was observed in about 20% patients of early Vitrectomy group and 40% of late Vitrectomy group, the percentage was 30% and 53% in Aly et al., [11] study. This means the delay of PPV may double the risk of development of post operative glaucoma in such cases. One of the main findings in Kageyama et al., [21] study is that the development of secondary glaucoma was not observed in any patients. This is in agreement with the findings of Kim et al., [22] in that none of their cases undergoing Vitrectomy for dislocated lens fragments on the same day of cataract surgery developed secondary glaucoma. It was low percentage in our study 1/7 (14%) of those done at the same setting. In our study non of the patients of the early PPV developed RD, however incidence of RD in the second group was 13.4%, in Chalam KV and Gupta SK recent study in 2016, The rate of RD in delayed vitrectomy was 21.5%, however their concurrent vitrectomy with identification and laser of retinal tears resulted in prevention of RD. They observed that early vitrectomy removes lens fragments and prevents development of intraocular inflammation and inhibits vitreous contraction, a common cause of retinal tears and detachment. They utilized a 360° endolaserretinopexy immediately posterior to the vitreous base to reduce the risk of new retinal breaks due to contraction of residual vitreous at the sclerotomy sites, so they suggested a clear benefit of early vitrectomy and barricade laser to eliminate the risk of RD [23].

5. Conclusion
The visual outcome of the first group in our study and better than that of the second group but with no statistically significance (P value more than 0.05), the results did not indicate an association between clinical outcomes and whether the patient had an early or delayed PPV. However, there was evidence that early PPV may produce better outcomes than delayed PPV.
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